

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application

Inventor: Richard M. Ehrlich

Serial No.: New Application

Filed: Herewith

Title: SERVO DEMODULATOR SYSTEMS INCLUDING
MULTIPLE SERVO DEMODULATORS

PATENT APPLICATION


Art Unit: New Application

Examiner: New Application

Customer No. 23910

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited in the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231, on July 16, 2003, 2003.

 (Attorney Signature)
Jeffrey R. Kurin, Reg. No. 41,132
Signature Date: July 16, 2003

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.56

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

Sir:

It is requested that the information identified in this statement be considered by the Examiner and made of record in the above-identified application. This statement is not intended to represent that a search has been made or that the information cited in the statement is, or is considered to be, material to patentability as defined in 37 C.F.R. §1.56. If this is a continuation, divisional or continuation-in-part application, it is understood that the Examiner will consider all information which was considered by the Office in a parent application. MPEP §609. Such information therefore is not listed herein unless it is desired that the information be printed on a patent issuing from the subject application.

Enclosed with this statement are the following:

- X Form PTO-1449. The Examiner is requested to initial the form and return it to the undersigned in accordance with M.P.E.P. §609.
- X A copy of each cited document as required by 37 C.F.R. §1.98. Copies are not submitted of documents previously submitted by the applicant in a parent application from which benefit under 35 U.S.C. §120 is claimed, 37 C.F.R. §1.98(d)(1), with an information disclosure statement submitted in the parent application which complies with the Sept. 8, 2000 or subsequent revision of 37 C.F.R. §1.98(a-c). If any of the cited/submitted documents is in a foreign language, a concise explanation of relevance is provided pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.98(a)(3)(i). For foreign language documents cited in a search report by a foreign patent office, the requirement for a concise explanation of relevance is satisfied by the submission herewith of an English language version of the search report. MPEP §609A(3). If a written English-language translation of a non-English language document, or portion thereof, is within the possession, custody or control of, or is readily available to any individual designated in §1.56(c), a copy of the translation accompanies this statement, 37 C.F.R. §1.98(a)(3)(ii), and satisfies the requirement for a concise explanation of relevance, MPEP §609A(3).
- PTA Statement under 37 C.F.R. §1.704(d).*** Each item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a

counterpart application and this communication was not received by any individual designated in §1.56(c) more than thirty days prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement.

This statement should be considered because:

X **37 C.F.R. §1.97(b).** This statement qualifies under 37 C.F.R. §1.97, subsection (b) because:

- (1) It is being filed within three months of the filing date of an application other than a continued prosecution application under § 1.53(d);
-- OR --
- (2) It is being filed within 3 months of entry of a national stage;
-- OR --
- (3) It is being filed before the mailing date of the first Office Action on the merits,
-- OR --
- (4) It is being filed before the mailing date of the first Office Action after the filing of a Request for Continued Examination under 37 C.F.R. §1.114.

— **37 C.F.R. §1.97(c).** Although it may not qualify under subsection (b), this statement qualifies under 37 C.F.R. §1.97, subsection (c) because:

- (1) It is being filed before the mailing date of a FINAL office action, a Notice of Allowance, or an action that otherwise closes prosecution in the subject application, whichever occurs first.

-- AND (check at least one of the following) --

- (1) It is accompanied by a STATEMENT as set forth in 37 C.F.R. §1.97(e).
-- OR --
— (2) It is accompanied by the \$180 fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. §1.17(p).

— **37 C.F.R. §1.97(d).** Although it may not qualify under subsection (b) or (c), this statement qualifies under 37 C.F.R. §1.97, subsection (d) because:

- (1) It is being filed on or before payment of the issue fee;
-- AND --
- (2) It is accompanied by a STATEMENT as set forth in 37 C.F.R. §1.97(e);
-- AND --
- (3) It is accompanied by the \$180 fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. §1.17(p).

X **Fee Authorization.** The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge underpayment of any additional fees or credit any overpayment associated with this communication to Deposit Account No. 06-1325.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: July 16, 2003 _____

By: Jeffrey R. Kurin
Jeffrey R. Kurin, Reg. No. 41,132

Fliesler Dubb Meyer & Lovejoy LLP
Four Embarcadero Center, Fourth Floor
San Francisco, California 94111-4156
415/362-3800 Telephone

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE CITATION
BY APPLICANT**

(Use several sheets if necessary)

Applicant

Richard M. Ehrlich

Filing Date

Herewith

Group Art Unit

New Application

U.S. PATENTS

Examiner Initial		Patent Number	Issue Date	Name	Class	Subclass	Filing Date
	1.	5,050,016 A	9/17/1991	Squires			10/12/1989
	2.	5,170,299 A	12/8/1992	Moon			8/17/1990
	3.	5,255,136 A	10/19/1993	Machado et al.			6/4/1991
	4.	5,384,671 A	1/24/1995	Fisher			12/23/1993
	5.	5,420,730 A	5/30/1995	Moon et al.			1/11/1994
	6.	5,477,103 A	12/19/1995	Romano et al.			6/4/1993
	7.	5,544,135 A	8/6/1996	Akin, Jr. et al.			10/11/1994
	8.	5,796,535 A	8/18/1998	Tuttle et al.			5/12/1995
	9.	5,903,410 A	5/11/1999	Blaum et al.			3/24/1997
	10.	5,963,387 A	10/5/1999	Son			9/5/1997
	11.	5,966,258 A	10/12/1999	Bliss			5/21/1997
	12.	6,021,012 A	2/1/2000	Bang			6/27/1997
	13.	6,111,710 A	8/29/2000	Feyh et al.			8/29/2000
	14.	6,155, 199 A	12/52000	Chen et al.			3/31/1998
	15.						

U.S. PATENT PUBLICATIONS

Examiner Initial		Patent Application Publication Number	Publication Date	Applicant
	16.			
	17.			
	18.			

EXAMINER

DATE CONSIDERED

EXAMINER: Initial if references considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP § 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

*1 = Copy not submitted because it was submitted in prior application SN ____ / _____, filed _____, 20____, relied on under 35 USC §120.

*2 = Copy not submitted because it was submitted in prior application SN ____ / _____, filed _____, 20____, relied on under 35 USC §120.